

## CS 1571 Introduction to AI Lecture 24

# Decision making in the presence of uncertainty

**Milos Hauskrecht**  
[milos@cs.pitt.edu](mailto:milos@cs.pitt.edu)  
5329 Sennott Square

## Decision-making in the presence of uncertainty


- Many real-world problems require **to choose future actions in the presence of uncertainty**
- **Examples:** patient management, investments

### Main issues:

- **How to model the decision process in the computer ?**
- **How to make decisions about actions in the presence of uncertainty?**

## (Stochastic) Decision tree

- Decision tree:



- decision node
- chance node
- outcome (value) node

## Sequential (multi-step) problems

The decision tree can be build to capture multi-step decision problems:

- Choose an action
- Observe a stochastic outcome
- And repeat

How to make decisions for multi-step problems?


- Start from the leaves of the decision tree (outcome nodes)
- Compute expectations at chance nodes
- Maximize at the decision nodes

Algorithm is sometimes called **expectimax**

## Multi-step problem example

Assume:

- Two investment periods
- Two actions: stock and bank




CS 1571 Intro to AI

M. Hauskrecht

## Selection based on expected values

- **Until now:** The optimal action choice was the option that maximized the expected monetary value.
- **But is the expected monetary value always the quantity we want to optimize?**



CS 1571 Intro to AI

M. Hauskrecht

## Selection based on expected values

- Is the expected monetary value always the quantity we want to optimize?
- **Answer:** Yes, but only if we are risk-neutral.
- But what if **we do not like the risk (we are risk-averse)?**
- In that case we may want to get the premium for undertaking the risk (of losing the money)
- **Example:**
  - we may prefer to get \$101 for sure against \$102 in expectation but with the risk of losing the money
- **Problem:** How to model decisions and account for the risk?
- **Solution:** use **utility function, and utility theory**

## Utility function

- **Utility function (denoted U)**
  - Quantifies how we “value” outcomes, i.e., it reflects our preferences
  - Can be also applied to “value” outcomes other than money and gains (e.g. utility of a patient being healthy, or ill)
- **Decision making:**
  - uses expected utilities (denoted EU)

$$EU(X) = \sum_{x \in \Omega_X} P(X = x)U(X = x)$$

$U(X = x)$  the utility of outcome  $x$

### **Important !!!**

- Under some conditions on preferences **we can always design the utility function that fits our preferences**

## Utility theory

- Defines axioms on preferences that involve uncertainty and ways to manipulate them.
- Uncertainty is modeled through **lotteries**
  - **Lottery:**
$$[p : A; (1 - p) : C]$$
    - Outcome A with probability p
    - Outcome C with probability (1-p)
- The following six constraints are known as the axioms of utility theory. The axioms are the most obvious semantic constraints on preferences with lotteries.
- **Notation:**
  - $\succ$  - preferable
  - $\sim$  - indifferent (equally preferable)

## Axioms of the utility theory

- **Orderability:** Given any two states, the a rational agent prefers one of them, else the two as equally preferable.
$$(A \succ B) \vee (B \succ A) \vee (A \sim B)$$
- **Transitivity:** Given any three states, if an agent prefers  $A$  to  $B$  and prefers  $B$  to  $C$ , agent must prefer  $A$  to  $C$ .
$$(A \succ B) \wedge (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$$
- **Continuity:** If some state  $B$  is between  $A$  and  $C$  in preference, then there is a  $p$  for which the rational agent will be indifferent between state  $B$  and the lottery in which  $A$  comes with probability  $p$ ,  $C$  with probability  $(1-p)$ .

$$(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p [p : A; (1 - p) : C] \sim B$$

## Axioms of the utility theory

- **Substitutability:** If an agent is indifferent between two lotteries,  $A$  and  $B$ , then there is a more complex lottery in which  $A$  can be substituted with  $B$ .

$$(A \sim B) \Rightarrow [p : A; (1 - p) : C] \sim [p : B; (1 - p) : C]$$

- **Monotonicity:** If an agent prefers  $A$  to  $B$ , then the agent must prefer the lottery in which  $A$  occurs with a higher probability

$$(A \succ B) \Rightarrow (p > q \Leftrightarrow [p : A; (1 - p) : B] \succ [q : A; (1 - q) : B])$$

- **Decomposability:** Compound lotteries can be reduced to simpler lotteries using the laws of probability.

$$[p : A; (1 - p) : [q : B; (1 - q) : C]] \Rightarrow [p : A; (1 - p)q : B; (1 - p)(1 - q) : C]$$

## Utility theory

**If the agent obeys the axioms of the utility theory, then**

1. there exists a real valued function  $U$  such that:

$$U(A) > U(B) \Leftrightarrow A \succ B$$

$$U(A) = U(B) \Leftrightarrow A \sim B$$

2. The utility of the lottery is the expected utility, that is the sum of utilities of outcomes weighted by their probability

$$U[p : A; (1 - p) : B] = pU(A) + (1 - p)U(B)$$

3. Rational agent makes the decisions in the presence of uncertainty by maximizing its expected utility


## Utility functions

We can design a utility function that fits our preferences if they satisfy the axioms of utility theory.

- But how to design the utility function for monetary values so that they incorporate the risk?
- What is the relation between utility function and monetary values?
- Assume we loose or gain \$1000.
  - Typically this difference is more significant for lower values (around \$100 -1000) than for higher values (~ \$1,000,000)
- What is the relation between utilities and monetary value for a typical person?


## Utility functions

- What is the relation between utilities and monetary value for a typical person?
- Concave function that flattens at higher monetary values



## Utility functions


- Expected utility of a sure outcome of 750



## Utility functions


Assume a lottery  $L$   $[0.5: 500, 0.5:1000]$

- Expected value of the lottery = 750
- Expected utility of the lottery  $EU(L)$  is different:
  - $EU(L) = 0.5U(500) + 0.5 \cdot U(1000)$



## Utility functions


- Expected utility of the lottery  $EU(\text{lottery } L) < EU(\text{sure } 750)$



- Risk aversion – a bonus is required for undertaking the risk

## Decision making with utility function

- Original problem with monetary outcomes



## Decision making with the utility function

- Utility function  $\log(x)$

