

# CS 1571 Introduction to AI

## Lecture 20

### Uncertainty

**Milos Hauskrecht**  
[milos@cs.pitt.edu](mailto:milos@cs.pitt.edu)  
5329 Sennott Square

### KB systems. Medical example.

We want to build a KB system for the **diagnosis of pneumonia**.

#### Problem description:

- **Disease:** pneumonia
- **Patient symptoms (findings, lab tests):**
  - Fever, Cough, Paleness, WBC (white blood cells) count, Chest pain, etc.


#### Representation of a patient case:

- Statements that hold (are true) for the patient.
  - E.g:       Fever =*True*
  - Cough =*False*
  - WBCcount=*High*

**Diagnostic task:** we want to decide whether the patient suffers from the pneumonia or not given the symptoms

## Uncertainty

To make diagnostic inference possible we need to represent knowledge (axioms) that relate symptoms and diagnosis




**Problem:** disease/symptoms relations are not deterministic

- They are uncertain (or stochastic) and vary from patient to patient

## Modeling the uncertainty.

**Key challenges:**

- How to represent the relations in the presence of uncertainty?
- How to manipulate such knowledge to make inferences?
  - Humans can reason with uncertainty.



## Methods for representing uncertainty

### Probability theory

- A well defined theory for modeling and reasoning in the presence of uncertainty
- A natural choice to replace certainty factors

### Facts (propositional statements)

- Are represented via **random variables** with two or more values

**Example:** *Pneumonia* is a random variable

**values:** *True* and *False*

- Each value can be achieved **with some probability:**

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{True}) = 0.001$$


$$P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{high}) = 0.005$$

## Probability theory

- Well-defined theory for representing and manipulating statements with uncertainty
- **Axioms of probability:**

For any two propositions A, B.

1.  $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$
2.  $P(\text{True}) = 1$  and  $P(\text{False}) = 0$
3.  $P(A \vee B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \wedge B)$



# Modeling uncertainty with probabilities

## Probabilistic extension of propositional logic

- **Propositions:**

- statements about the world
- Represented by the assignment of values to **random variables**

- **Random variables:**

- ! – **Boolean**      *Pneumonia* is either *True, False*  
Random variable      Values
- ! – **Multi-valued**      *Pain* is one of {*Nopain, Mild, Moderate, Severe*}  
Random variable      Values
- **Continuous**      *HeartRate* is a value in  $< 0 ; 250 >$   
Random variable      Values

## Probabilities

### Unconditional probabilities (prior probabilities)

$$P(Pneumonia) = 0.001 \quad \text{or} \quad P(Pneumonia = True) = 0.001$$

$$P(Pneumonia = False) = 0.999$$

$$P(WBCcount = high) = 0.005$$

### Probability distribution

- Defines probabilities **for all possible value assignments to a random variable**
- Values are mutually exclusive

$$P(Pneumonia = True) = 0.001$$

$$P(Pneumonia = False) = 0.999$$

<i>Pneumonia</i>	<b>P</b> ( <i>Pneumonia</i> )
<i>True</i>	0.001
<i>False</i>	0.999

## Probability distribution

Defines probability for **all possible value assignments**

### Example 1:

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{True}) = 0.001$$

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{False}) = 0.999$$

<i>Pneumonia</i>	<b>P</b> ( <i>Pneumonia</i> )
<i>True</i>	0.001
<i>False</i>	0.999

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{True}) + P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{False}) = 1$$

**Probabilities sum to 1 !!!**

### Example 2:

$$P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{high}) = 0.005$$

$$P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{normal}) = 0.993$$

$$P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{low}) = 0.002$$

<i>WBCcount</i>	<b>P</b> ( <i>WBCcount</i> )
<i>high</i>	0.005
<i>normal</i>	0.993
<i>low</i>	0.002

## Joint probability distribution

### Joint probability distribution (for a set variables)

- Defines probabilities for **all possible assignments of values to variables in the set**

**Example:** variables *Pneumonia* and *WBCcount*

$$\mathbf{P}(\text{pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount})$$

Is represented by  $2 \times 3$  matrix

		<i>WBCcount</i>		
		<i>high</i>	<i>normal</i>	<i>low</i>
<i>Pneumonia</i>	<i>True</i>	0.0008	0.0001	0.0001
	<i>False</i>	0.0042	0.9929	0.0019

## Joint probabilities

### Marginalization

- reduces the dimension of the joint distribution
- Sums variables out

$P(\text{pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount})$   $2 \times 3$  matrix

		WBCcount			
		high	normal	low	
Pneumonia	True	0.0008	0.0001	0.0001	0.001
	False	0.0042	0.9929	0.0019	
		0.005	0.993	0.002	0.999

$P(\text{Pneumonia})$  (points to the rightmost column)

$P(\text{WBCcount})$  (points to the bottom row)

**Marginalization** (here summing of columns or rows)

## Marginalization

### Marginalization

- reduces the dimension of the joint distribution

$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \sum_{\{X_n\}} P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n)$$

- We can continue doing this

$$P(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \sum_{\{X_1, X_n\}} P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n)$$

What is the maximal joint probability distribution?

- Full joint probability

## Full joint distribution

- **the joint distribution for all variables in the problem**
  - It defines the complete probability model for the problem

**Example:** pneumonia diagnosis

**Variables:** *Pneumonia, Fever, Paleness, WBCcount, Cough*

Full joint defines the probability for all possible assignments of values to these variables

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=T, \text{Paleness}=T)$

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=T, \text{Paleness}=F)$

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=F, \text{Paleness}=T)$

... etc

- **How many probabilities are there?**

## Full joint distribution

- **the joint distribution for all variables in the problem**
  - It defines the complete probability model for the problem

**Example:** pneumonia diagnosis

**Variables:** *Pneumonia, Fever, Paleness, WBCcount, Cough*

Full joint defines the probability for all possible assignments of values to these variables

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=T, \text{Paleness}=T)$

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=T, \text{Paleness}=F)$

$P(\text{Pneumonia}=T, \text{WBCcount}=High, \text{Fever}=T, \text{Cough}=F, \text{Paleness}=T)$

... etc

- **How many probabilities are there?**
- Exponential in the number of variables

## Full joint distribution

- Any joint probability for a subset of variables can be obtained via marginalization

$$P(\text{Pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount}, \text{Fever}) =$$

$$\sum_{c, p \in \{T, F\}} P(\text{Pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount}, \text{Fever}, \text{Cough} = c, \text{Paleness} = p)$$

- Is it possible to recover the full joint from the joint probabilities over a subset of variables?

## Joint probabilities

- Is it possible to recover the full joint from the joint probabilities over a subset of variables?

$P(\text{pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount})$      $2 \times 3$  matrix

		WBCcount			
		high	normal	low	
Pneumonia	True	?	?	?	0.001
	False	?	?	?	
		0.005	0.993	0.002	

$P(\text{Pneumonia})$  (points to the rightmost column of the matrix)  
 $P(\text{WBCcount})$  (points to the bottom row of the matrix)



## Joint probabilities and independence

- Is it possible to recover the full joint from the joint probabilities over a subset of variables?
- Only if the variables are independent !!!

$P(\text{pneumonia}, \text{WBCcount})$      $2 \times 3$  matrix

		<i>WBCcount</i>		
		<i>high</i>	<i>normal</i>	<i>low</i>
<i>Pneumonia</i>	<i>True</i>	?	?	?
	<i>False</i>	?	?	?
		0.005	0.993	0.002

$P(\text{Pneumonia})$  → 0.001, 0.999

$P(\text{WBCcount})$  →

## Conditional probabilities

- Conditional probability distribution.**

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} \text{ s.t. } P(B) \neq 0$$

- Product rule.** Joint probability can be expressed in terms of conditional probabilities

$$P(A, B) = P(A | B)P(B)$$

- Chain rule.** Any joint probability can be expressed as a product of conditionals

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_n | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\
 &= P(X_n | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})P(X_{n-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{n-2})P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

## Conditional probabilities

### Conditional probability

- Is defined in terms of the joint probability:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} \text{ s.t. } P(B) \neq 0$$

- Example:**

$$P(\text{pneumonia} = \text{true} | \text{WBCcount} = \text{high}) = \frac{P(\text{pneumonia} = \text{true}, \text{WBCcount} = \text{high})}{P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{high})}$$

$$P(\text{pneumonia} = \text{false} | \text{WBCcount} = \text{high}) = \frac{P(\text{pneumonia} = \text{false}, \text{WBCcount} = \text{high})}{P(\text{WBCcount} = \text{high})}$$

## Conditional probabilities

### Conditional probability distribution

- Defines probabilities for all possible assignments, given a fixed assignment to some other variable values

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{true} | \text{WBCcount} = \text{high})$$

$P(\text{Pneumonia} | \text{WBCcount})$  3 element vector of 2 elements

		WBCcount		
		high	normal	low
Pneumonia	True	0.08	0.0001	0.0001
	False	0.92	0.9999	0.9999
		1.0	1.0	1.0

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{true} | \text{WBCcount} = \text{high})$$

$$+ P(\text{Pneumonia} = \text{false} | \text{WBCcount} = \text{high})$$

## Bayes rule

### Conditional probability.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B)} \quad \text{and} \quad P(A,B) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

### Bayes rule:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

### When is it useful?

- When we are interested in computing the diagnostic query from the causal probability

$$P(\text{cause} | \text{effect}) = \frac{P(\text{effect} | \text{cause})P(\text{cause})}{P(\text{effect})}$$

- Reason:** It is often easier to assess causal probability
  - E.g. Probability of pneumonia causing fever  
vs. probability of pneumonia given fever

## Bayes Rule in a simple diagnostic inference

- Device** (equipment) operating *normally* or *malfunctioning*.
  - Operation of the device sensed indirectly via a sensor
- Sensor reading** is either *high* or *low*

Device status	P(Device status)	
	normal	malfunctioning
	0.9	0.1

Sensor	P(Sensor reading   Device status)	
	normal	malfunc
	High	0.1 0.6
	Low	0.9 0.4

## Bayes Rule in a simple diagnostic inference.

- **Diagnostic inference:** compute the probability of device operating normally or malfunctioning given a sensor reading

$P(\text{Device status} \mid \text{Sensor reading} = \text{high}) = ?$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} P(\text{Device status} = \text{normal} \mid \text{Sensor reading} = \text{high}) \\ P(\text{Device status} = \text{malfunctioning} \mid \text{Sensor reading} = \text{high}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Note that typically the opposite conditional probabilities are given to us: they are much easier to estimate
- **Solution:** apply **Bayes rule** to reverse the conditioning variables

## Bayes rule

Assume a variable A with multiple values  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$

**Bayes rule can be rewritten as:**

$$\begin{aligned} P(A = a_j \mid B = b) &= \frac{P(B = b \mid A = a_j)P(A = a_j)}{P(B = b)} \\ &= \frac{P(B = b \mid A = a_j)P(A = a_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^k P(B = b \mid A = a_i)P(A = a_i)} \end{aligned}$$

Used in practice when we want to compute:

$P(A \mid B = b)$  for all values of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$

## Probabilistic inference

Various inference tasks:

- **Diagnostic task. (from effect to cause)**

$$\mathbf{P}(Pneumonia \mid Fever = T)$$

- **Prediction task. (from cause to effect)**

$$\mathbf{P}(Fever \mid Pneumonia = T)$$

- **Other probabilistic queries** (queries on joint distributions).

$$\mathbf{P}(Fever)$$

$$\mathbf{P}(Fever, ChestPain)$$

## Inference

Any query can be computed from the full joint distribution !!!

- **Joint over a subset of variables** is obtained through marginalization

$$P(A = a, C = c) = \sum_i \sum_j P(A = a, B = b_i, C = c, D = d_j)$$

- **Conditional probability over set of variables**, given other variables' values is obtained through marginalization and definition of conditionals

$$\begin{aligned} P(D = d \mid A = a, C = c) &= \frac{P(A = a, C = c, D = d)}{P(A = a, C = c)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i P(A = a, B = b_i, C = c, D = d)}{\sum_i \sum_j P(A = a, B = b_i, C = c, D = d_j)} \end{aligned}$$

## Inference

Any query can be computed from the full joint distribution !!!

- Any joint probability can be expressed as a product of conditionals via the **chain rule**.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_n | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= P(X_n | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) P(X_{n-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Sometimes it is easier to define the distribution in terms of conditional probabilities:
  - E.g.  $\mathbf{P}(\text{Fever} | \text{Pneumonia} = T)$   
 $\mathbf{P}(\text{Fever} | \text{Pneumonia} = F)$

## Modeling uncertainty with probabilities

- Defining the **full joint distribution** makes it possible to represent and reason with uncertainty in a uniform way
- We are able to handle an arbitrary inference problem

### Problems:

- **Space complexity.** To store a full joint distribution we need to remember  $O(d^n)$  numbers.  
 $n$  – number of random variables,  $d$  – number of values
- **Inference (time) complexity.** To compute some queries requires  $O(d^n)$  steps.
- **Acquisition problem.** Who is going to define all of the probability entries?

## Medical diagnosis example

- **Space complexity.**

- Pneumonia (2 values: T,F), Fever (2: T,F), Cough (2: T,F), WBCcount (3: high, normal, low), paleness (2: T,F)
- Number of assignments:  $2*2*2*3*2=48$
- We need to define at least 47 probabilities.

- **Time complexity.**

- Assume we need to compute the marginal of  $P(\text{Pneumonia}=T)$  from the full joint

$$P(\text{Pneumonia} = T) = \sum_{i \in T, F} \sum_{j \in T, F} \sum_{k=h, n, l} \sum_{u \in T, F} P(\text{Fever} = i, \text{Cough} = j, \text{WBCcount} = k, \text{Pale} = u)$$

- Sum over:  $2*2*3*2=24$  combinations

## Modeling uncertainty with probabilities

- **Knowledge based system era (70s – early 80's)**

- **Extensional non-probabilistic models**
- Solve the space, time and acquisition bottlenecks in probability-based models
- froze the development and advancement of KB systems and contributed to the slow-down of AI in 80s in general

- Breakthrough (late 80s, beginning of 90s)

- **Bayesian belief networks**

- Give solutions to the space, acquisition bottlenecks
- Partial solutions for time complexities
- Bayesian belief network