CS 1571 Introduction to AI Lecture 16

Inference in first-order logic

Milos Hauskrecht

milos@cs.pitt.edu 5329 Sennott Square

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Logical inference in FOL

Logical inference problem:

• Given a knowledge base KB (a set of sentences) and a sentence α , does the KB semantically entail α ?

$$KB \mid = \alpha$$
 ?

In other words: In all interpretations in which sentences in the KB are true, is also α true?

Logical inference problem in the first-order logic is undecidable !!!. No procedure that can decide the entailment for all possible input sentences in a finite number of steps.

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Logical inference problem in the Propositional logic

Computational procedures that answer:

$$KB = \alpha$$
?

Three approaches:

- Truth-table approach
- Inference rules
- Conversion to the inverse SAT problem
 - Resolution-refutation

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Inference in FOL: Truth table

• Is the Truth-table approach a viable approach for the FOL?

?

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Inference in FOL: Truth table approach

- Is the Truth-table approach a viable approach for the FOL?
 ?
- NO!
- Why?
- It would require us to enumerate and list all possible interpretations I
- I = (assignments of symbols to objects, predicates to relations and functions to relational mappings)
- Simply there are too many interpretations

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Inference in FOL: Inference rules

• Is the Inference rule approach a viable approach for the FOL?

9

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Inference in FOL: Inference rules

- Is the Inference rule approach a viable approach for the FOL??
- · Yes.
- The inference rules represent sound inference patterns one can apply to sentences in the KB
- What is derived follows from the KB
- · Caveat: we need to add rules for handling quantifiers

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Inference rules

- Inference rules from the propositional logic:
 - Modus ponens

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B, \quad A}{B}$$

- Resolution

$$\frac{A \vee B, \quad \neg B \vee C}{A \vee C}$$

- and others: And-introduction, And-elimination, Orintroduction, Negation elimination
- Additional inference rules are needed for sentences with quantifiers and variables
 - Must involve variable substitutions

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Sentences with variables

First-order logic sentences can include variables.

- Variable is:
 - **Bound** if it is in the scope of some quantifier

$$\forall x \ P(x)$$

- Free – if it is not bound.

$$\exists x \ P(y) \land Q(x)$$
 y is free

Examples:

$$\forall x \exists y \ Likes (x, y)$$

• Bound or free?

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Sentences with variables

First-order logic sentences can include variables.

- Variable is:
 - **Bound** if it is in the scope of some quantifier
 - Free if it is not bound.

$$\exists x \ P(y) \land Q(x)$$
 y is free

Examples:

$$\forall x \; \exists y \; Likes \; (x, y)$$

Bound

$$\forall x (Likes(x, y) \land \exists y \ Likes(y, Raymond))$$

• Bound or free?

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Sentences with variables

First-order logic sentences can include variables.

- Variable is:
 - **Bound** if it is in the scope of some quantifier

$$-$$
 Free – if it is not bound.

$$\exists x \ P(y) \land Q(x)$$
 y is free

Examples:

$$\forall x \; \exists y \; Likes \; (x, y)$$

Bound

$$\forall x (Likes (x, y) \land \exists y \ Likes (y, Raymond))$$

• Free

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Variable substitutions

- Variables in the sentences can be substituted with terms. (terms = constants, variables, functions)
- Substitution:
 - Is represented by a mapping from variables to terms

$$\{x_1/t_1, x_2/t_2, \ldots\}$$

- Application of the substitution to sentences

$$SUBST(\{x \mid Sam, y \mid Pam\}, Likes(x, y)) = Likes(Sam, Pam)$$

$$SUBST(\{x/z, y/fatherof(John)\}, Likes(x, y)) = Likes(z, fatherof(John))$$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Inference rules for quantifiers

• Universal elimination

$$\frac{\forall x \ \phi(x)}{\phi(a)} \qquad a \text{ - is a constant symbol}$$

- substitutes a variable with a constant symbol

 $\forall x \ Likes(x, IceCream)$ Likes(Ben, IceCream)

• Existential elimination.

$$\frac{\exists x \; \phi(x)}{\phi(a)}$$

 Substitutes a variable with a constant symbol that does not appear elsewhere in the KB

 $\exists x \ Kill(x, Victim)$ Kill(Murderer, Victim)

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Inference rules for quantifiers

• Universal instantiation (introduction)

$$\frac{\phi}{\forall x \ \phi}$$
 $x - \text{is not free in } \phi$

– Introduces a universal variable which does not affect ϕ or its assumptions

Sister(Amy, Jane) $\forall x Sister(Amy, Jane)$

• Existential instantiation (introduction)

$$\frac{\phi(a)}{\exists x \phi(x)}$$
 a - is a ground term in ϕ
 x - is not free in ϕ

 Substitutes a ground term in the sentence with a variable and an existential statement

Likes(Ben, IceCream) $\exists x \ Likes(x, IceCream)$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Unification

 Problem in inference: Universal elimination gives many opportunities for substituting variables with ground terms

$$\frac{\forall x \ \phi(x)}{\phi(a)} \qquad a \text{ - is a constant symbol}$$

- Solution: Try substitutions that may help
 - Use substitutions of "similar" sentences in KB
- Unification takes two similar sentences and computes the substitution that makes them look the same, if it exists

UNIFY
$$(p,q) = \sigma$$
 s.t. SUBST $(\sigma, p) = SUBST(\sigma, q)$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Unification. Examples.

• Unification:

$$UNIFY(p,q) = \sigma$$
 s.t. $SUBST(\sigma, p) = SUBST(\sigma, q)$

• Examples:

$$UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(John, Jane)) = \{x / Jane\}$$
 $UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(y, Ann)) = \{x / Ann, y / John\}$
 $UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(y, MotherOf(y)))$
 $= \{x / MotherOf(John), y / John\}$

UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(x, Elizabeth)) = fail

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Generalized inference rules.

• Use substitutions that let us make inferences

Example: Modus Ponens

• If there exists a substitution σ such that

SUBST
$$(\sigma, A_i) = SUBST(\sigma, A_i')$$
 for all i=1,2, n

$$\frac{A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots A_n \Rightarrow B, \quad A_1', A_2', \dots A_n'}{SUBST \ (\sigma, B)}$$

- Substitution that satisfies the generalized inference rule can be build via unification process
- Advantage of the generalized rules: they are focused
 - only substitutions that allow the inferences to proceed

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Resolution inference rule

• **Recall:** Resolution inference rule is sound and complete (refutation-complete) for the **propositional logic** and CNF

$$\frac{A \vee B, \quad \neg A \vee C}{B \vee C}$$

• Generalized resolution rule is sound and refutation complete for the first-order logic and CNF w/o equalities (if unsatisfiable the resolution will find the contradiction)

$$\sigma = UNIFY \ (\phi_{i}, \neg \psi_{j}) \neq fail$$

$$\frac{\phi_{1} \lor \phi_{2} \dots \lor \phi_{k}, \quad \psi_{1} \lor \psi_{2} \lor \dots \psi_{n}}{SUBST(\sigma, \phi_{1} \lor \dots \lor \phi_{i-1} \lor \phi_{i+1} \dots \lor \phi_{k} \lor \psi_{1} \lor \dots \lor \psi_{j-1} \lor \psi_{j+1} \dots \psi_{n})}$$

Example:
$$P(x) \lor Q(x), \neg Q(John) \lor S(y)$$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Resolution inference rule

• **Recall:** Resolution inference rule is sound and complete (refutation-complete) for the **propositional logic** and CNF

$$\frac{A \vee B, \quad \neg A \vee C}{B \vee C}$$

 Generalized resolution rule is sound and refutation complete for the first-order logic and CNF w/o equalities (if unsatisfiable the resolution will find the contradiction)

$$\begin{split} \sigma &= UNIFY \ (\phi_i, \neg \psi_j) \neq fail \\ \frac{\phi_1 \lor \phi_2 \ldots \lor \phi_k, \quad \psi_1 \lor \psi_2 \lor \ldots \psi_n}{SUBST(\sigma, \phi_1 \lor \ldots \lor \phi_{i-1} \lor \phi_{i+1} \ldots \lor \phi_k \lor \psi_1 \lor \ldots \lor \psi_{j-1} \lor \psi_{j+1} \ldots \psi_n)} \end{split}$$

Example: $P(x) \lor Q(x), \neg Q(John) \lor S(y)$ $P(John) \lor S(y)$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Inference with resolution rule

- Proof by refutation:
 - Prove that KB, $\neg \alpha$ is unsatisfiable
 - resolution is refutation-complete
- Main procedure (steps):
 - 1. Convert KB, $\neg \alpha$ to CNF with ground terms and universal variables only
 - 2. Apply repeatedly the resolution rule while keeping track and consistency of substitutions
 - 3. Stop when empty set (contradiction) is derived or no more new resolvents (conclusions) follow

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Conversion to CNF

1. Eliminate implications, equivalences

$$(p \Rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg p \lor q)$$

2. Move negations inside (DeMorgan's Laws, double negation)

$$\neg(p \land q) \rightarrow \neg p \lor \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \lor q) \rightarrow \neg p \land \neg q$$

$$\neg\exists x \ p \rightarrow \exists x \neg p$$

$$\neg\exists x \ p \rightarrow \forall x \neg p$$

$$\neg p \rightarrow p$$

3. Standardize variables (rename duplicate variables)

$$(\forall x \ P(x)) \lor (\exists x \ Q(x)) \to (\forall x \ P(x)) \lor (\exists y \ Q(y))$$

4. Move all quantifiers left (no invalid capture possible)

$$(\forall x \ P(x)) \lor (\exists y \ Q(y)) \to \forall x \ \exists y \ P(x) \lor Q(y)$$

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Conversion to CNF

- **5. Skolemization** (removal of existential quantifiers through elimination)
- If no universal quantifier occurs before the existential quantifier, replace the variable with a new constant symbol

$$\exists y \ P(A) \lor Q(y) \to P(A) \lor Q(B)$$

• If a universal quantifier precede the existential quantifier replace the variable with a function of the "universal" variable

$$\forall x \exists y \ P(x) \lor Q(y) \rightarrow \forall x \ P(x) \lor Q(F(x))$$

F(x) - a special function

- called Skolem function

CS 1571 Intro to Al

Conversion to CNF

6. Drop universal quantifiers (all variables are universally quantified)

$$\forall x \ P(x) \lor Q(F(x)) \to P(x) \lor Q(F(x))$$

7. Convert to CNF using the distributive laws

$$p \lor (q \land r) \rightarrow (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$$

The result is a CNF with variables, constants, functions

CS 1571 Intro to Al

M. Hauskrecht

Resolution example

KB

 $\neg \alpha$

$$\overbrace{\neg P(w) \lor Q(w), \neg Q(y) \lor S(y)}, P(x) \lor R(x), \neg R(z) \lor S(z), \neg S(A)$$

CS 1571 Intro to Al





