

①

Lagrangian multipliers

$$\min_w f(w)$$

$$h_i(w) = 0 \quad : \beta_i$$

$$L(w, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = f(w) + \beta_1 h_1(w) + \dots + \beta_n h_n(w)$$

Solution:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0$$

$\vdots$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_i} = 0$$

$\vdots$

system of $n+d$ equations

$\downarrow$
the dimension of w

Lagrangian duality, general case, also allowing inequalities:

$$\min_w f(w)$$

$$g_i(w) \leq 0 \quad : \alpha_i$$

$$h_j(w) = 0 \quad : \beta_j$$

$$L(w, \alpha, \beta) = f(w) + \sum_i \alpha_i g_i(w) + \sum_j \beta_j h_j(w)$$

Primal problem : $\min_w e_p(w)$ where $e_p(w) = \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} L(w, \alpha, \beta)$

Dual problem : $\max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} e_D(\alpha, \beta)$ where $e_D(\alpha, \beta) = \min_w L(w, \alpha, \beta)$

Weak duality : $P^* \geq D^*$ where $P^* = \min_w \max_{\alpha, \beta} L$
 $D^* = \max_{\alpha, \beta} \min_w L$

②

→ when is $P^* = D^*$?

Karush - Kuhn - Tucker (KKT) conditions:

⇒ if $\alpha_i^* > 0$, then $g_i(w^*) = 0$

$$\#1 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} L(w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) = 0, \forall i$$

$$\#2 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_i} L(w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) = 0, \forall i$$

$$\#3 \quad \alpha_i^* g_i(w^*) = 0, \forall i$$

$$\#4 \quad g_i(w^*) \leq 0, \forall i$$

$$\#5 \quad \alpha_i^* \geq 0, \forall i$$

Special case of SVM, solve dual. 1) Find $\mathcal{L}_D(\alpha, \beta) = \min_w L(w, \alpha, \beta)$:

$$\min_{w, b} \quad \frac{1}{2} w^T w$$

// no β_j

$$y_i (w^T x_i + b) \geq 1 \Rightarrow 1 - y_i (w^T x_i + b) \leq 0, \forall i : \alpha_i$$

$$L(w, \alpha, \beta) = f(w) + \sum_i \alpha_i g_i(w) + \sum_j \beta_j h_j(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [1 - y_i (w^T x_i + b)]$$

$$\text{Solution: } \frac{\partial}{\partial w} L(w, b, \alpha) = 0 = w + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [-y_i x_i] \Rightarrow$$

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} L(w, b, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

2) Plug solution for w into loss, find solution for α :

$$L(w, b, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j y_j x_j \right]^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i x_i \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \left[1 - y_i \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j y_j x_j \right)^T x_i + b \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j y_j x_j \right]^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i x_i \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j y_j x_j \right]^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i x_i \right] - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i b$$

$$\textcircled{3} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j y_j \mathbf{x}_j \right]^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \right]$$

Hence solve SVM dual as QP:

$$\max_{\alpha} \quad \alpha^T \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T H \alpha \quad \text{where } H_{ij} = y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$$

a column vector of ones

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

$$\alpha_i \geq 0, \forall i$$

QP is concave because H is positive semi-definite:

$H = A^T A$ (where A is a matrix with columns $y_j \mathbf{x}_j$) so

$$\alpha^T H \alpha = \alpha^T A^T A \alpha = \|A \alpha\|^2 \geq 0, \forall \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^T H \alpha \text{ convex}$$

Why do we care about dual?

#1 It exposes structure: a) $w = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$

b) KKT#3 $\alpha_i g_i(w) = 0 \Rightarrow$ if $\alpha_i > 0$ then $g_i(w) = 0$

i.e. if $\alpha_i > 0$ then $1 - y_i (w^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) = 0 \Rightarrow y_i (w^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) = 1$

i.e. sample i is a SV and lies on the margin!

so $w = \sum_{i \in \text{SV}} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$ and non-SV points don't matter
i.e. SV, SV is the set of support vectors

#2 The kernel trick: Data only appears as pairs as $\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$:

$$w^T \mathbf{x} + b = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \underline{\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}} + b \quad (\text{prediction for } \mathbf{x} \text{ before threshold})$$