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Lecture #15: Recursion and Structural Induction

Based on materials developed by Dr. Adam Lee
There are many uses of induction in computer science!

Proof by induction is often used to reason about:

- Algorithm properties (correctness, etc.)
- Properties of data structures
- Membership in certain sets
- Determining whether certain expressions are well-formed
- ...

To begin looking at how we can use induction to prove the above types of statements, we first need to learn about recursion
Sometimes, it is difficult or messy to define some object explicitly

**Recursive** objects are defined in terms of (other instances of) themselves

We often see the recursive versions of the following types of objects:

- Functions
- Sequences
- Sets
- Data structures

Let’s look at some examples...
Recursive functions are useful

When defining a recursive function whose domain is the set of natural numbers, we have two steps:

1. **Basis step:** Define the behavior of \( f(0) \)
2. **Recursive step:** Compute \( f(n+1) \) using \( f(0), \ldots, f(n) \)

**Example:** Let \( f(0) = 3, \ f(n+1) = 2f(n) + 3 \)

- \( f(1) = 2f(0) + 3 = 2(3) + 3 = 9 \)
- \( f(2) = 2f(1) + 3 = 2(9) + 3 = 21 \)
- \( f(3) = 2f(2) + 3 = 2(21) + 3 = 45 \)
- \( f(4) = 2f(3) + 3 = 2(45) + 3 = 93 \)
- \( \ldots \)

Doesn't this look a little bit like strong induction?
Some functions can be defined more precisely using recursion

**Example:** Define the factorial function $F(n)$ recursively

1. **Basis step:** $F(0) = 1$
2. **Recursive step:** $F(n+1) = (n+1) \times F(n)$

**Note:**

$F(4) = 4 \times F(3)$

$= 4 \times 3 \times F(2)$

$= 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times F(1)$

$= 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 \times F(0)$

$= 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1 = 24$

The recursive definition avoids using the “…” shorthand!

Compare the above definition our old definition:

- $F(n) = n \times (n-1) \times ... \times 2 \times 1$
It should be no surprise that we can also define recursive sequences

Example: The Fibonacci numbers, \{f_n\}, are defined as follows:

- \( f_0 = 1 \)
- \( f_1 = 1 \)
- \( f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \)

This is like strong induction, since we need more than \( f_{n-1} \) to compute \( f_n \).

Calculate: \( f_2, f_3, f_4, \) and \( f_5 \)

- \( f_2 = f_1 + f_0 = 1 + 1 = 2 \)
- \( f_3 = f_2 + f_1 = 2 + 1 = 3 \)
- \( f_4 = f_3 + f_2 = 3 + 2 = 5 \)
- \( f_5 = f_4 + f_3 = 5 + 3 = 8 \)

This gives us the sequence \( \{f_n\} = 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... \)
Recursion is used heavily in the study of strings

Let: \( \Sigma \) be defined as an alphabet
- Binary strings: \( \Sigma = \{0, 1\} \)
- Lower case letters: \( \Sigma = \{a, b, c, ..., z\} \)

We can define the set \( \Sigma^* \) containing all strings over the alphabet \( \Sigma \) as follows:

1. **Basis step:** \( \lambda \in \Sigma^* \)
2. **Recursive step:** If \( w \in \Sigma^* \) and \( x \in \Sigma \), then \( wx \in \Sigma^* \)

**Example:** If \( \Sigma = \{0, 1\} \), then \( \Sigma^* = \{\lambda, 0, 1, 01, 11, ...\} \)

\( \lambda \) is the empty string containing no characters
This recursive definition allows us to easily define important string operations

**Definition:** The concatenation of two strings can be defined as follows:

1. **Basis step:** if \( w \in \Sigma^* \), then \( w \diamond \lambda = w \)
2. **Recursive step:** if \( w_1 \in \Sigma^* \), \( w_2 \in \Sigma^* \), and \( x \in \Sigma \), then
   \[
   w_1 \diamond (w_2 x) = (w_1 \diamond w_2) x
   \]

**Example:** Concatenate the strings “Hello” and “World”

1. Hello\( \diamond \)World = (Hello\( \diamond \)Worl)d
2. = (Hello\( \diamond \)Wor)ld
3. = (Hello\( \diamond \)Wo)rld
4. = (Hello\( \diamond \)W)orld
5. = (Hello\( \diamond \lambda \))World
6. = HelloWorld
This recursive definition allows us to easily define important string operations

**Definition:** The length $l(w)$ of a string can be defined as follows:

1. **Basis step:** $l(\lambda) = 0$
2. **Recursive step:** $l(wx) = l(w) + 1$ if $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$

**Example:**

$l(1001) = l(100) + 1$

$$= l(10) + 1 + 1$$

$$= l(1) + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= l(\lambda) + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= 4$$
We can define sets of well-formed formulae recursively.

This is often used to specify the operations permissible in a given formal language (e.g., a programming language).

**Example:** Defining propositional logic

1. **Basis step:** \( \top, \bot, \text{ and } s \) are well-formed propositional logic statements (where \( s \) is a propositional variable)
2. **Recursive step:** If \( E \) and \( F \) are well-formed statements, so are
   - \( \neg E \)
   - \( E \land F \)
   - \( E \lor F \)
   - \( E \rightarrow F \)
   - \( E \leftrightarrow F \)
Question: Is \((p \land q) \rightarrow (((\neg r) \lor q) \land t))\) well-formed?

- Basis tells us that \(p, q, r, t\) are well-formed
- 1\(^{st}\) application: \((p \land q), (\neg r)\) are well-formed
- 2\(^{nd}\) application: \(((\neg r) \lor q)\) is well-formed
- 3\(^{rd}\) application: \(((\neg r) \lor q) \land t)\) is well-formed
- 4\(^{th}\) application: \((p \land q) \rightarrow (((\neg r) \lor q) \land t))\) is well-formed
In-class exercises

Problem 1: Construct a recursive definition of the sequence \( \{a_n\} \) where the \( n^{th} \) term is a natural number computed by adding the \( (n - 1)^{th} \) term to the square of the \( (n - 3)^{th} \) term. Assume that the first three terms of this sequence are 1, 1, 1.

Problem 2: Top Hat
Like other forms of induction, structural induction requires that we consider two cases

**Basis step:** Show that the result holds for the objects specified in the basis case of the recursive definition

**Recursive step:** Show that if the result holds for the objects used to construct new elements using the recursive step of the definition, then it holds for the new object as well.

To see how this works, let’s revisit string length...
**Definition:** The length $l(w)$ of a string can be defined as follows:

1. **Basis step:** $l(\lambda) = 0$
2. **Recursive step:** $l(wx) = l(w) + 1$ if $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$

---

**Example:** $l(1001) = l(100) + 1$

$$= l(10) + 1 + 1$$

$$= l(1) + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= l(\lambda) + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$= 4$$
Prove that \( l(x \diamond y) = l(x) + l(y) \) for \( x, y \in \Sigma^* \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P(n) ( \equiv ) l(x \diamond y) = l(x) + l(y) whenever ( x \in \Sigma^* ) and ( l(y) = n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base case: P(0): l(x \diamond \lambda) = l(x) = l(x) + 0 = l(x) + l(\lambda) ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.H.: Assume that P(k) holds for an arbitrary integer k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductive step: We will now show that P(k) ( \rightarrow ) P(k+1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider the string ( x \diamond ya ), where ( x, y \in \Sigma^* ), ( a \in \Sigma ) and ( l(y) = k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l(x \diamond ya) = l(x \diamond y) + 1 ) by the recursive definition of ( l() )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( = l(x) + l(y) + 1 ) by the I.H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since ( l(ya) = l(y) + 1 ) by the recursive definition of ( l() ), we have that ( l(x \diamond ya) = l(x) + l(ya) ), where ( ya ) is a string of size ( k+1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Since we have proved the base case and the inductive case, the claim holds for all ( n ) by structural induction ✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many common data structures used in computer science have recursive definitions

**Example:** Rooted Trees

**Base step:** A single node is a rooted tree

**Recursive step:** If $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$ are disjoint rooted trees with roots $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ then introducing a new root $r$ connected to $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ forms a new rooted tree.
Example Rooted Trees

Base case:

One application:

Two applications:

...
Many common data structures used in computer science have recursive definitions

**Example:** Extended binary trees

Base step: The empty set is an extended binary tree

Recursive step: If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are disjoint extended binary trees with roots $r_1$ and $r_2$, then introducing a new root $r$ connected to $r_1$ and $r_2$ forms a new extended binary tree.
Example Extended Binary Trees

**Base case:** \(\emptyset\)

**Step 1:**

**Step 2:**

**Step 3:**

\[\ldots\]
Many common data structures used in computer science have recursive definitions.

**Example:** Full binary trees

**Base step:** A single root node $r$ is a full binary tree.

**Recursive step:** If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are disjoint full binary trees with roots $r_1$ and $r_2$, then introducing a new root $r$ connected to $r_1$ and $r_2$ forms a new full binary tree.
Example Full Binary Trees

**Base case:**

**Step 1:**

**Step 2:**

...
Trees are used to parse expressions

\[((3 + 6) \times 7) - (4 + 2)\] \times 8
Trees are used to enable fast searches

Consider the set $S = \{56, 22, 34, 89, 99, 77, 16\}$

**Question:** Is $34 \in S$?  **YES!**

**Question:** Is $262 \in S$?  **NO!**
As with other recursively defined objects, we can define many properties of trees recursively.

**Definition:** Given a tree T, we can define the height of T recursively, as follows:

1. **Basis step:** If T consists only of the root node r, then \( h(T) = 0 \)
2. **Recursive step:** If T consists of a root r that connects to subtrees \( T_1, \ldots, T_n \), then \( h(T) = 1 + \max(h(T_1), \ldots, h(T_n)) \)

**Example:** What is the height of this tree T?

\[
\begin{align*}
    h(L) &= 1 + h(L_1) \\
    h(R) &= 1 + h(R_1) \\
    h(L_1) &= 1 + \max(h(L_{11}), h(L_{12})) \\
    h(L_{11}) &= 0 \\
    h(L_{12}) &= 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Claim: \( n(T) \leq 2^{h(T)+1}-1 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base case:</th>
<th>T contains only a root node. In this case ( n(T) = 1 ) and ( h(T) = 0 ). Note that ( 2^{0+1}-1 = 1 ), so the claim holds.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.H. (Strong):</td>
<td>Assume that claim holds for a tree of height ( \leq k ), arb. ( k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductive step: Show that the claim holds for trees of height ( k+1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Let ( T_1 ) and ( T_2 ) be disjoint full binary trees of height ( \leq k )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- By the I.H., ( n(T_1) \leq 2^{h(T_1)+1}-1 ) and ( n(T_2) \leq 2^{h(T_2)+1}-1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Let ( r ) be a unique root element, and let ( T ) be the tree formed using ( r ) as a root, ( T_1 ) as the left subtree of ( r ), and ( T_2 ) as the right subtree of ( r )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If T is a full binary tree, then the number of nodes in T (denoted n(T)) is less than or equal to $2^{h(T)+1} - 1$.

Inductive step (cont.): We have that

- $n(T) = 1 + n(T_1) + n(T_2)$ by recursive formula of $n(T)$
- $\leq 1 + 2^{h(T_1)+1} - 1 + 2^{h(T_2)+1} - 1$ by I.H.
- $\leq 2^{h(T_1)+1} + 2^{h(T_2)+1} - 1$
- $\leq 2 \times \max(2^{h(T_1)+1}, 2^{h(T_2)+1}) - 1$ sum of 2 terms $\leq$ twice larger term
- $\leq 2 \times 2^{\max(h(T_1), h(T_2))+1} - 1$
- $\leq 2 \times 2^{h(T)} - 1$
- $\leq 2^{h(T)+1} - 1$

Conclusion: Since we have proved the base case and the inductive case, the claim holds by structural induction.
In-class exercises

Problem 3: Use structural induction to prove that checking whether some number is contained in a binary search tree $T$ involves at most $h(T)+1$ comparison operations.
Final Thoughts

- Structural induction can be used to prove properties of recursive
  - Functions
  - Sequences
  - Sets
  - Data structures

- Next time, we start learning about counting and combinatorics (Section 6.1)