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09: Authentication
First, a threat modeling discussion: Consider airline security

When analyzing the security of airlines, pilots are generally considered trusted, since they are in control of the planes.

Further, people with government security clearances are trusted with national security secrets, so presumably can be considered trusted when flying.

Discuss in breakout groups: Design a set of rules, necessary infrastructure, and procedures that would enable one or both of these groups to bypass TSA gate security, given that it is redundant by the above assumptions.
Today: How do I prove my identity?

Types of identity/name
- Username, public key, pseudonym

Passwords: Something you know
- Complexity policies, storage methods, password managers

Tokens/ID cards: Something you have
- Two-factor, OAuth

Biometrics: Something you are
- Lockscreens, theme parks, unique biometrics
What type of name might I want to authenticate?

Authentication is the process of binding an identity to an interaction

- What does this mean?

User within a domain
Email address
Qualification/credential

Example of each?
We can also authenticate against keypairs

\{r\}K_B

What does this prove to Alice?

Dangers / concerns?
  - These protocols are much more subtle in practice

A type of zero-knowledge proof
Authentication usually involves one or more of three main categories of information:

- **Something you know**
- **Something you have**
- **Something you are**

Example of each?
Something you know

Usually, this is a password, but works for any question that can only be answered by the intended recipient

- Assumptions?

Typically, the system simply asks whether the user knows the secret

- Hopefully, over a secure channel
- Compares against the known truth (stored info)
  - Usually complementary—not the password itself
  - Why not send, say, hash of the password?

Is it valid to assume only the intended user knows the password?
Attacks against users in password systems

Social engineering, password reuse, phishing, predictable...
Common mitigations against humans’ password problems

Problem: Humans choose bad passwords, share them often
  • How?

Password complexity requirements
  • e.g., “Password must contain 3 of 4: uppercase, lowercase, digit, symbol”
  • Pros/cons?
  • NIST now says: Verifiers SHOULD NOT impose composition rules

Password expiration
  • Secrets leak over time, and should be refreshed
  • Pros/cons?

Phishing exercises
  • Educate to prevent tricky sites stealing passwords
Attacks against passwords, online vs. offline

**Online** guessing attack: Attempt to authenticate many times
- How can we protect against this?
  - Delay, lock out, etc.
- What if we don’t control the gatekeeper? (e.g., mobile phone)

**Offline** guessing attack: Steal the password database (etc.), attempt to recover passwords
- How might this happen?
- Hash functions are preimage resistant; just hash the passwords?
  - What could still go wrong?
Secure password storage

Salt: First, add something unique and random
  • NIST: 32 bits

Key derivation: Transform into offline-attack-resistant form
  • PBKDF2: Password-Based Key Derivation Function 2
  • Idea: Repeatedly hash password (why?)
  • \( U_1 = \text{PRF}(\text{Password, Salt}) \)
  • \( U_2 = \text{PRF}(\text{Password, } U_1) \)
  • \( \ldots \)
  • \( U_c = \text{PRF}(\text{Password, } U_{c-1}) \)
  • NIST: Use \( c \geq 10,000 \)

Here, PRF is a secure one-way pseudorandom function (e.g., HMAC-SHA2)
This doesn’t protect against weak passwords!

How can services protect users from themselves?

- NIST: Monitor stolen password corpuses, notify affected users
  - How, if password is stored securely?

Password managers: Because people are bad at randomness

- Idea: Generate and store *uniformly random* passwords
- Why is this not subject to “post-it” vulnerability?
- What is required to achieve both security and usability?
- What about phishing?
Your password is invalid: Must contain undiscovered prime number above 2,072,81
Something you have

Attacker must be in possession of a trusted device (token)

• Usually, this device stores a long-lived secret that is used to derive one-time PINs
• Server stores the same secret, derives the same codes to verify

Usually, this is part of multi-factor authentication

• Require password and code from token
• If you drop your token, not usable alone

Variants: SMS (issues!?), email (sort of), smartphone-as-token
Newer tokens can do mutual authentication to prevent phishing attacks

FIDO Alliance: Open standard for U2F (universal 2-factor) security keys using public-key crypto

- Different key per site, generated and stored with site’s public key
- In a phishing attack, public key won’t match (or won’t be verified), so the correct info will not be sent
- MITM?
Something you are

Physical authentication has existed since antiquity (and before!)

- Humans and animals are good at recognizing one another
- Instincts and experience cause us to avoid danger
- Uniforms to mark authority

Common biometrics in use today

- Fingerprint
- Iris/retina patterns
- Facial recognition
- Voice recognition
We’re unique in lots of surprising ways

Typing delay patterns

Word choice

Device movement (while typing, etc.)

Application usage
Biometrics aren’t great for everything!

Special care must be used to balance false acceptance rate (FAR) vs. false rejection rate (FRR)

• In what situations have you seen biometrics used? FAR vs. FRR balance for this situation?

Biometrics **cannot** be revoked or expired!

• How does this change our handling of the secrets?
• Consider secure module’s role

Biometrics can seem intrusive

• “Creepy” factor
• Psychological acceptability can be poor
OAuth allows for separation of authentication and service

User  

Login using FriendFace  

Take this to FriendFace  

I want to login!  

(Authentication and verification)  

Here, I logged in  

Provider  

Someone wants to login  

Here's a token  

Client  

User logged in, access
OAuth needs lots of keys/tokens/secrets to make this happen

Client ID: Given to client (service/app) when signing up to log in with service provider (authentication service)
  • Passed back to service when requesting login

Client secret: Provided with client ID

Request token: Describes what access the client is requesting to the user’s account
  • Passed from service to client to user

Authorization code: Encodes the user’s permission granted to the client
  • Passed back to client after authentication

Access token: Gives the client access, exchanged from authorization code
Conclusions

Identities are important, and authentication binds identities to sessions

Usually a combination of something you know/have/are

Different settings call for different approaches!

Next time: Securing data at rest