Overview

- 4.1-4.2: Heuristic Search
  - Best-First Search Approach
  - Greedy
  - A*
  - Heuristic Functions
- 4.3: Local Search and Optimization
  - Hill-climbing
  - Simulated Annealing
  - Local Beam
  - Genetic Algorithms
Local Search / Optimization

- Idea is to find the best state.
- We don’t really care how to get to the best state, just that we get there.
- The best state is defined according to an objective function
  - Measures the “fitness” of a state.
- Problem: Find the optimal state
  - The one that maximizes (or minimizes) the objective function.

Properties

- Search the space of “complete” configurations
- Take advantage of local moves
  - Make “local” changes to “complete” configurations
- Keep track of just one state (the current state)
  - No memory of past states
  - No search tree is necessary!
State Space Landscapes

Objective Function

- Global max
- Local max
- Shoulder

State Space

Problem Formulation

- Complete-state formulation
  - Start with an approximate solution and perturb
- n-queens problem
  - Place n queens on a board so that no queen is attacking another queen.
    - The quality of a board configuration = the number of constraints violated (examples in class)
    - Solving = minimize the number of constraint violations

```
Problem Formulation

- Initial State: n queens placed randomly on the board, one per column.
- Successor function: States that obtained by moving one queen to a new location in its column.
- Heuristic/objective function: The number of pairs of attacking queens.

n-Queens
Local Search Algorithms

- Hill climbing
- Simulated annealing
- Local beam search
- Genetic Algorithms

Hill Climbing (or Descent)

![Diagram showing the concept of Hill Climbing with a state space and objective function graph.]
Hill Climbing Pseudo-code

• "Like climbing Everest in thick fog with amnesia"

```python
function HILL-CLIMBING(problem) returns a state that is a local maximum
inputs: problem, a problem
local variables: current, a node
neighbor, a node

current ← MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem])

loop do
    neighbor ← a highest-valued successor of current
    if VALUE(neighbor) ≤ VALUE(current) then return STATE[current]
    current ← neighbor
```

• Always choose the next best successor state
• Stop when no improvement possible

Hill Climbing Problems

Objective Function

State Space
Hill Climbing Problems (cont.)

- Local Optimum
- Plateaus
- Shoulders

n-Queens

What happens if we move 3rd queen?
Possible Improvements

- Stochastic hill climbing
  - Choose at random from uphill moves
  - Probability of move could be influenced by steepness
- First-choice hill climbing
  - Generate successors at random until one is better than current.
- Random-restart
  - Execute hill climbing several times, choose best result.
  - If $p$ is probability of a search succeeding, then expected number of restarts is $1/p$.

Simulated Annealing

- Similar to stochastic hill climbing
  - Moves are selected at random
  - If a move is an improvement, accept
  - Otherwise, accept with probability less than 1.
- Probability gets smaller as time passes and by the amount of “badness” of the move.
Simulated Annealing

- Developed originally for modeling physical processes
  - temperature parameter $T$
- If $T$ is decreased slowly enough the best configuration (state) is always reached
- Applications
  - VLSI design, Airline scheduling
- Limitation of pursuing one state configuration

Now can also go down ('bad' move), with gradually decreasing frequency
Local Beam Search

- Keep k states in memory instead of just one
- Generate successors of all k states
- If one is a goal, return the goal
- Otherwise, take k best successors and repeat.

Concentrates on promising paths
Local Beam Search

- Initial $k$ states may not be diverse enough
  - Could have clustered around a local max.
- Improvement is *stochastic beam search*
  - Choose $k$ states at random, with probability of choice an increasing function of its value.

Genetic Algorithms

- Can we do better?
  - Assume we have two configurations with good values that are quite different
  - Perhaps the combination of the two individual configurations may lead to a configuration with higher value
  - So grow a population of individual combinations
- Like Beam Search due to multiple states, but less local
Genetic Algorithms

- Variant of stochastic beam search
- Successor states are generated by combining two parent states
  - Hopefully improves diversity
- Start with k states, the *population*
- Each state, or *individual*, represented as a string over a finite alphabet (e.g. DNA)
- Each state is rated by a *fitness function*
  - Measures the quality of a state in the population
- Select parents for reproduction using the fitness function

Algorithm Idea

- Create a population of random configurations
- Create a new population through:
  - Biased selection of pairs of configurations from the previous population (via fitness function)
  - Crossover (combination) of pairs
  - Mutation of resulting individuals
- Evolve the population of multiple generation cycles
- Reproduction Process Example – in class
Genetic Algorithms

Roulette Wheel Selection

Crossover in Action

Taken from http://www.cs.qub.ac.uk/~M.Sullivan/ga/ga_index.html