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Expressions are Expressive

- Facial expression is one of primary means by which we communicate with each other.
- Nowadays, more and more people are “talking” without seeing each other, so…
  :-), :-(, :-8, ^_^, *^,

- The popularity of these representations has proven the great needs for facial expressions in numerous applications.
- No representations are more nature and friendly than the facial images of the real human.
Main Challenges

- Acquisition: It costs user too much effort to take photos for every expression.
- Transference: Images are too big to transfer.
- Display: Screen is too small, and Images are too large.
Our Goal

- A solution to synthesize realistic facial expression images from photographs for the portable devices such as cell phones and PDAs, which have
  - Limited processing power
  - Limited network bandwidth
  - Limited display area

Referred as the “LLL” environment
Overview of our approach

- Face Alive Icons (FAI) are small size realistic facial images
- Facial Icon Profile (FIP) is compact in size;
- Expression code (ECode) is just one byte.
- Expression synthesis is a light load operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step1: Expression</td>
<td>Servers</td>
<td>Photograph</td>
<td>Facial Icon Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step2: Icon Synthesis</td>
<td>Terminal</td>
<td>Facial Icon Profile</td>
<td>Face Alive Icons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expression Decomposition

- Observations from Japanese Female Facial Expression (JAFFE) database (216 images, 10 persons):
  - **Inactive** and **active parts**
  - Parts share the **same** appearances across expressions
  - Decomposition rule $\text{FAI} := \text{FF} + \text{EF}$
    - FF: Facial Features, the inactive parts of face in expressions
    - EF: Expressional Features, the active parts of face in expressions
  - $\text{FAI} := \text{FF} + \text{<left eye>} + \text{<right eye>} + \text{<mouth>}$. 
Discrete Model of EF

Built through Principle Component Analysis (PCA) – Distribution is investigated and standard states are defined. Assuming $n$ sample data items in training set and $m$ variables for landmark points of each item. The $i^{th}$ data item $X_i$

$$X_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \cdots, x_{i,m})$$

Where $x_{i,k}$ could be either the coordinates or grayscale values of the landmark points

In which,
- $X$ is the average of the training samples
- $P$ is the matrix of unit eigenvectors of the covariance of deviation.
- $b$ is a vector of eigenvector weights referred as Model Parameters.
Discrete Model of EF

- Categorized by expressions, the vector $b$ of features for $e_i$ can be represented by the averages
  \[ S = \{ \bar{b}_{e_1}, \bar{b}_{e_2}, \ldots, \bar{b}_{e_p} \} \]
- Assuming uniform distribution,
  \[ \text{delta} = \max |b_i - b_j| / (n-1) \]
- Keep merging the closest items $b_p$ and $b_q$ if $|b_p - b_q| < \text{delta}$
- A unique semantic name is given for each of the standard states according their appearances.

Figure States of the Right Eye: (a) $b_1$: normal (b) $b_2$: up (c) $b_3$: wide-open (d) $b_4$: down

Figure The States of the mouth: (a) $b_1$: normal (b) $b_2$: down-close (c) $b_3$: up-open (d) $b_4$: down-open
Synthesis rules are determined by statistical analysis on the distance from the standard states.

Face Icon Profile (FIP) includes only one facial image and several standard states of expressional features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEU</th>
<th>HAP</th>
<th>SAD</th>
<th>SUR</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>DIS</th>
<th>FEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wideopen</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wideopen</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down-close</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up-open</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down-open</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average distance $D$ distance between training data and the standard states.
Prototype System

- An experimental prototype has been implemented.
  - decomposition process: simulated on PC (Window XP)
  - synthesis process: simulated on Palm Zire (Palm OS)
- More than 30 meaningful expressions can be generated.
- Suppose
  - 20 buddies on you list
  - 30 expressions
Our approach
FIP size = 20 * 128K = 2.56 Mbytes
Approaches which transfer Images in JPEG files:
Total size = 20 * 30 * 30K = 18 Mbytes
Contribution

- Propose an system to synthesize the realistic facial expressions from photographs for portable devices in the “LLL” environment.

- Reveal the inner relations among expressions by the semantic synthesis rules assessed through the statistical analysis on training data.
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